

1 PHILLIP A. TALBERT
2 United States Attorney
3 KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
6 Fresno, CA 93721
7 Telephone: (559) 497-4000
8 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099

9
10
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 United States of America

13
14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16
17
18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
19 Plaintiff,
20 v.
21 DALE WESLEY HUBBARD,
22 Defendants.

23 CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00306-JLT-SKO
24 STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
25 TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
26 ORDER
27 CURRENT DATE: February 20, 2024
28 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
COURT: Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 **STIPULATION**

19 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and
20 through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

21 1. By previous order, this matter was set for a change of plea on February 20, 2024.
22 2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the change of plea hearing to May
23 20, 2024 before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, and to exclude time between February 20, 2024,
24 and May 20, 2024, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv).
25 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
26 a) The government asserts the discovery associated with this case includes reports,
27 photographs, and recordings; initial discovery has been provided to defense counsel and the
28 government anticipates significant additional discovery will be produced. The government is
aware of its ongoing discovery obligations.

b) Counsel for defendant desires time to finalize plea discussions with his client and has accounted for scheduling conflicts to reach the proposed date. The parties need additional time to come to an agreement on certain details of a potential plea agreement. Defense requests time for further evaluation, negotiation and investigation to be in a position for the defendant to either enter a guilty plea or set the case for trial.

c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

d) The government does not object to the continuance.

e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 20, 2024 to May 20, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv), because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

1
2
3 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the
4 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial
5 must commence.
6

7 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
8

9 Dated: February 16, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

10 /s/ KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
11 KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
Assistant United States Attorney

12 Dated: February 16, 2024

13 /s/ KEVIN P. ROONEY
14 KEVIN P. ROONEY
Counsel for Defendant
15 DALE WESLEY HUBBARD

16
17
18
19 **FINDINGS AND ORDER**

20 IT IS SO FOUND.
21

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: February 16, 2024

24 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE